# **REVIEW OF SAPROXYLIC BEETLES IN TUGAI FORESTS OF KAZAKHSTAN\***

### Yu.S. Borissova

## Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic

In the review, the data about the saproxylic beetles in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan is described. The main tree and shrub species related to saproxylic beetles are reported and the beetle species diversity is presented in a table. Of the species listed in the table, 36 species of saproxylic beetles are related to *Populus* sp. (*Populus diversifolia* and *P. pruinosa*), 21 species to *Elaeagnus angustifolia*, 20 species to *Tamarix* sp., and 17 species to *Salix* sp. The least number of saproxylic beetles was related to *Fraxinus sogdiana* (2 species) and *Halimodendron halodendron* (3 species). The author detected data about the representatives of the following families of Coleoptera: Brentidae, Bostrichidae, Buprestidae, Cerambycidae, and Curculionidae on the territory of tugai forests and the arid zone of Kazakhstan. According to the used references, the most numbered family of saproxylic beetles in the tugai forests is Buprestidae: 41 species have been known. The author found out data about 17 species of Curculionidae and 10 species of Cerambycidae. Other families have a lower number of species. It means that Buprestidae is the most studied family in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan. Further research is necessary to extend knowledge about the diversity of saproxylic beetles in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan.

Tugai forests, saproxylic beetles, Coleoptera, trees and shrubs; forest pests



doi: 10.2478/sab-2018-0016 Received for publication on-August 22, 2016 Accepted for publication on September 26, 2017

#### INTRODUCTION

Tugai forests, known as tugais, are intrazonal forests formations, which grow along bottomlands of rivers in arid regions. These forests are called intrazonal forests because they do not form separate zones. They are like inclusions in an extensive arid zone with intra-area vegetation, which is different from the main background arid flora (P r o h o r o v, 1982). They belong to a special relic type of vegetation. This type saves the old features of Tertiary flora and its centre of origin is Central Asia (T r e s h k i n, 2011).

At present, the world's largest contiguous tugai forests occur along the Tarim River, China and its tributaries in the Tarim basin (Thevs et al., 2008). In Kazakhstan the tugai forests are tree, shrub, and herb communities in flood plains of the Syr Darya, Chu, Ili, Karatal, Lepsy, Aksu and Charyn rivers with total area of about 400 thousand ha, of which no more than 150 thousand ha is covered by forest (B a i z a k o v et al., 2007).

The tugai forests have a large agricultural and economic significance: they protect against soil erosion, water evaporation, and they strengthen the banks of the rivers. They often play an agricultural fieldprotection role against wind or snow, for example, and realize bio drainage in slump bottomland regions (K o l e s n i c h e n k o, 2013).

Cuttings in a non-systematic manner destroyed the tugai forests until there was complete extermination in some areas. These forests are damaged also by frequent fires, which in most cases take place when people burn

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by the Internal Grant Agency of the Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague (IGA), Project No. B03/15, and by Erasmus Mundus Action 2 programme (CASIA project).

the bulrush for agricultural needs (K o l e s n i c h e n k o, 2013). In addition to frequent fires and illegal cuttings of the unique tugai forests, they are constantly reduced because of the growth of pests and diseases loci and an unregulated year-round pasturage of livestock. All these reasons mentioned above essentially influence reproduction, growth and development of the tugai vegetation (P r o h o r o v, 1982). Compared with the other plant communities of the tugai vegetation, the tugai forests are the most threatened ecosystem. The restoration of such unique forest ecosystems is of worldwide significance (T h e v s et al., 2008).

The tugai forests are characterized by specific fauna of saproxylic beetles. These beetles are the main component of the present fauna and are of special importance for biodiversity conservation in the tugai forests. Therefore, data about the saproxylic beetles in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan are described in this review.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The materials for the review on saproxylic beetles were collected from various literature sources. We applied the systematic of Coleoptera, which was published in the catalogue of B o u c h a r d et al. (2011). The following families of Coleoptera were chosen: Brentidae, Buprestidae, Curculionidae, Cerambycidae, and Bostrichidae, because representatives of these families form the saproxylic beetles community in the tugai forests. Most of them have the host plants in the tugais as well.

#### RESULTS

According to some authors, the tugai group of saproxylic beetles includes 25 species trophically associated with trees and shrubs (*Populus, Salix, Elaeagnus,* and *Tamarix*) as well as with the herbaceous (*Alhagi, Glycyrrhiza, Phragmites, Aeluropus* and *Juncus*) vegetation of tugais (Volk ovitsh, Alexeev, 1994). In recent years, saproxylic beetles have been studied in the tugai forests of the Ili River in Kazakhstan. The results showed that most abundant families in tugais were Curculionidae and Bostrichidae. The rare families were Buprestidae, Cerambycidae, and others (Kolesnichenko, Nakladal, 2014; Kolesnichenko et al., 2014).

Data on the saproxylic beetles, their host plants and other information undertaken from various researches are presented in Table 1.

Specific species of a number of families mentioned in Table 1 are typical inhabitants of the tugai flora. Most of them are connected with the tugai vegetation, primarily with *P. diversifolia*, *P. pruinosa*, and *Tamarix* sp. (K h a m r a e v, 2003).

The family Buprestidae is among the largest beetle families, with nearly 15 000 species known in 511 genera. As the latter common names suggest, these insects are wood-boring as larvae, with the immature forms slowly tunneling through a variety of woody tissues of many tree and shrub species (Ghahari et al., 2008). The species of this family are important pests groups in forest trees of almost all regions (Bílý, 2003). Many publications about Buprestidae have been devoted to the study of pests of forest tree species (Mityaev, 1955; Sinadskiy, 1964). Among those trees were also tugai species of trees and shrubs (Aybasov, 1974; Mityaev, Yaschenko, 2007). Data about buprestids, damaging Tamarix sp., are available in the article of Mityaev (1955), where he gave four species of buprestids. A y b a s o v (1974) identified Buprestidae species composition of turanga (P. diversifolia and P. pruinosa). There are seven species of buprestid beetles, which damage Tamarix sp. (Mityaev, Yaschenko, 2007).

The family Cerambycidae is poorly represented in the tugai forests of Central Asia. The reason of this is the comparative poverty of the Central Asian forests. Among found data, *Prionus anguslatus* (Jakovlev, 1887) is typical for deserts and semi-deserts (Plavilstshikov, 1936, 1958).

As mentioned in the past, *Scolytus jaroschevskyi* Schevyrew, 1893 and *Taphronurgus exul* (Reitter, 1913) (Curculionidae: Scolytinae) were found in the tugai forests (Stark, 1952; Kostin, 1973). However, other scientists found other species of this subfamily on *Populus* sp., *Salix* sp., *Ulmus* sp., and *Fraxinus* sp. (Table 1).

Among the species of the saproxylic beetles listed in Table 1, 33 species are related to *P.diversifolia* and *P.pruinosa*, 21 species to *E.angustifolia*, 20 species to *Tamarix* sp., and 17 species to *Salix* sp. in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan. The least number of saproxylic beetles are related to *Fraxinus sogdiana* (2 species) and *Halimodendron halodendron* (3 species).

According to data of Table 1, the most numbered family of saproxylic beetles is Buprestidae. It has 41 species. The family Curculionidae has 17 species that of Cerambycidae has 10 species. It means that Buprestidae is the most studied family in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan.

#### DISCUSSION

Scientists gave definition of tugai forests. According to Z a k tr e g e r (1927), tugai forests are unique island plant communities, the origin and life of which is closely associated with the activities of peculiar rivers. S i n a d s k i y (1968) mentioned that tugais are desert forests with tree, shrubby and grassy thickets in floodplains and deltas of Central Asia and Kazakhstan rivers. They are presented by xeromezophylous mezothermous trees, shrubs and herbs. Other scientists noted that tugai forests are the riparian forests along the rivers in the continental, winter-cold deserts of Central Asia (T h e v s et al. 2008). The tugai forests are also named oases of deserts (K o l e s n i c h e n k o, 2013). Deserts, the floodplains along the large river systems such as the Amu Darya, Syr Darya, and Tarim harbor the highest biodiversity and the natural vegetation with the highest productivity (T h e v s et al., 2012). The most important condition of tugai existence is flood regime, which lasts from several weeks to several months (S i n a d s k i y, 1968).

The tugais do not form continuous forest areas along the rivers. They look like separate groves, ribbons rotating with meadows, tangles of a bulrush or sand barchans which are covered with aculeate bushes. The tugai forests are characterized by a closest level of fresh groundwater, periodic summer flooding of the floodplain, moist microclimate in the zone of tugais, and high temperatures in summer. Due to frequent changes in riverbeds and the accumulation of new sediments, changes occur in groundwater levels, which lead to the natural change of the vegetation (Thevs et al., 2008). A special microclimate always arises in the tugai forests. This distinguishes them from ecosystems, which are normally situated around deserts (K o l e s n i c h e n k o, 2013).

The turanga, willow and oleaster are the main tree species of the tugai forests. Shrub tugais are tamarisk forests. Herbal tugais are reed thickets (S i n a d s k i y, 1968).Tugai forests are associated with shrub communities (mainly *Tamarix* and *Halimodendron* species) and grassland vegetation, i.e. *Phragmites australis* Trin. ex Staud. (T h e v s et al., 2008). The plant species of the tugai forests and associated plant communities are so-called phreatophytes. They depend on groundwater or phreatic water along riverbanks, piedmont springs, and lakeshores (T h e v s et al., 2008).

Thickets of shrubby willows (Salix sp.) usually grow like narrow strips along the coastal sediments, as well as lowering flat floodplains, flooded by spring floodwaters. Plantations of oleaster Elaeagnus angustifolia L. are situated in higher places of the first terrace. Sparse turanga or Asiatic poplar forests presented by Populus diversifolia Schrenk and Populus pruinosa Schrenk are located at the second terrace with undulating relief (Baizakov et al., 2007). Populus pruinosa Schrenk is in the Red List of trees of Central Asia as a near threatened species. Although the species has a wide distribution, it has a very narrow ecological range, restricted to riverbanks in arid areas. The species is threatened by changes in water regime (irrigation and hydroelectric power stations), cutting, and agricultural conversion (Eastwood et al. 2009). Willow (Salix sp.), oleaster (Elaeagnus angustifolia) and individual trees of turanga (Populus diversifolia, P. pruinosa) form the willow-oleaster type of tugai forests, where the undergrowth consists of Rosa

iliensis Chrshan., Lonicera iliensis Pojark., Berberis iliensis Popov, Lycium dasystemum Pojark., Tamarix spp., and Halimodendron halodendron (Pall.) Voss, as well as mesophytic herbaceous vegetation (I s h k o v, 1995; Tleppaeva, 2013). Impassable thickets of tamarisk Tamarix sp. and salt trees Halimodendron halodendron grow along the second terrace. Stands of relic hygrophilous ash Fraxinus sogdiana Bunge, which grow in alluvial-meadow soil near the floodplain of the Charyn River in Almaty region, have a special place among the tugai forests (Baizakov et al., 2007). Fraxinus sogdiana Bunge is in the Red List of Trees of Central Asia as a near threatened species. This species of ash, which grows along rivers in open deciduous forest, is threatened in Kazakhstan. Threats in Central Asia include cutting for timber and changes in water regimes (Eastwood et al., 2009).

According to dominant trees or bush species, the tugais are divided into the following types: oleasters, Asiatic poplars, willows, and ashes. The oleaster tugais are often situated along the bottomlands of lower riches of the rivers Syrdarya, Chu, Karatal, and Ili. The Asiatic poplar tugais are situated mainly along the bottomland of the Ili River and the Syrdarya River. The willow tugais sometimes occur along the bottomlands of the rivers Chu, Ili, Karatal, and others. They grow even in a treeless area. The Charyn ash forest, which grows along the bottomland of the Charyn River, is an example of the Ash tugais (K o l e s n i c h e n k o, 2013).

The tugai forests have a large agricultural and economic significance: they protect against soil erosion, water evaporation and they strengthen the banks of rivers. They often play an agricultural field-protection role against wind or snow, for example, and realize bio drainage in slump bottomland regions (Kolesnichenko, 2013). The riparian forests along the Tarim River and the Amu Darya, as well as along other rivers in Central Asia, provide a regionally remarkable amount of biomass, additionally to other ecosystem services such as animal shelter, renewable energy sources, sand fixation, and the provision of habitats (Thevs et al., 2012). However, the total area of tugai forests in the Tarim basin declined from 500 000 ha in 1958 to 200 000 ha in 1978. In the Aral Sea Basin, the area of tugai forests shrunk from 500 000 ha in 1950 to 70 000 ha in 1998 (Thevs et al., 2008, 2012).

Large activities of flow regulation in the main water arteries (the Ili River and the Syrdarya River) were performed in the Soviet Union period. The aim of these activities was an agricultural reclamation of arid regions in Kazakhstan for growing cotton, rice, and other crops. At that time, the water intake from other rivers of the desert zone increased drastically in volume and flow. The change of the hydrological regime influenced negatively the condition of the tugai forests (Prohorov, 1982). Because of economic interests and in the course of land use changes, natural tugai

Family	Species	Host plants	Authors	Notes
Brentidae: Nanophyinae	Allomalia quadrivirgata (Costa, 1863)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1974; Mityaev, Yashenko, 2007; Temreshev, 2014	pest
Brentidae: Nanophyinae	Titanomalia komaroffi (Faust, 1877)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1974; Mityaev, Yashenko, 2007; Temreshev, 2014	pest
Brentidae: Apioninae	Perapion chioneum (Jablokov-Khnzorian, 1957)	E. angustifolia, Tamarix sp.	Temreshev, 2014	
Ptinidae: Anobiinae	Gastrallus insuetus (Logvinovskiy, 1978)		Logvinovskiy, 1978	
Ptinidae: Anobiinae	Hemicoelus rufipes (Fabricius, 1792)	Populus sp., Salix sp., Quercus robur	Logvinovskiy, 1985; Volodchenko, 2015	dendro- philous
Bostrichidae	Bostrichus capucinus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Tamarix sp.	Mityaev, Yashenko, 2007	
Bostrichidae	Enneadesmus scopini (Fursov, 1936)	E. angustifolia	Mityaev, 1955; Sinadskiy, 1968; Kostin, 2007	
Bostrichidae	Lyctus turkestanicus (Lesne, 1935)	E. angustifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963; Kostin, 1973	
Bostrichidae	<i>Xylogenes dilatatus</i> ( Reitter, 1889)	Tamarix sp.	Mityaev, 1955; Sinadskiy, 1968; Kostin, 2007	
Buprestidae	Acmaeoderella flavofasciata tschitscherini (Semenov, 1895)	E. angustifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963; Volkovitsh, 2006	
Buprestidae	Acmaeoderella (Carininota) dsungarica (Obenberger, 1918)	Halimodendron halodendron	Volkovitsh, 1979a, 1979b, 1987, 2006	
Buprestidae	Agrilus cuprescens cuprescens (Ménétriés, 1832)	Salix sp.	Tleppaeva, 2013; Volkovitsh, 2006	
Buprestidae	Agrilus ganglbaueri (Semenov, 1891)	P.diversifolia, Salix sp.	Aybasov, 1974; Jendek, 2006; Kostin, 2007	
Buprestidae	Agrilus uzbekistanus (Stepanov, 1958)	Salix sp.	Sinadskiy, 1963; Jendek, 2006	
Buprestidae	Agrilus pratensis pratensis Ratzeburg, 1837	P. diversifolia	Sinadskiy, 1964; Jendek, 2006	
Buprestidae	Agrilus albogularis albogularis (Gory, 1841)	P. diversifolia	Jendek, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Agrilus (Xeragrilus) sericans s ericans (Kiesenwetter, 1857)	P. diversifolia	Ishkov, 1995; Jendek, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Agrilus (Spiragrilus) vaginalis vaginalis (Abeille de Perrin, 1897)	Salix sp.	Jendek, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Agrilus viridis viridis (Linnaeus, 1758)	E. angustifolia, Salix sp.	Kostin, 1973; Jendek, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	damages wood
Buprestidae	Agrilus tschitscherini (Semenov, 1895)	Salix sp.	Jendek, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	damages wood
Buprestidae	Anthaxia heydeni (Abeille de Perrin, 1894)	E. angustifolia	Kostin, 1973, 2007; Bily, 2006	thin branche
Buprestidae	Anthaxia elaeagni (Richter, 1945)	E. angustifolia, Salix sp.	Bily, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Anthaxia nanissima (Alexeev, 1964)	<i>Populus</i> sp., <i>Salix</i> sp. etc.	Volkovitsh, Alexeev, 1994; Bily, 2006	

T 11 1 C	1		· c · i · ·	$f_{\rm II} = 0.000$
Table 1. Species	diversity of Sapr	oxylic beetles in tu	igai forests and aric	l zone of Kazakhstan -Part 2

Family	Species	Host plants	Authors	Notes
Buprestidae	Anthaxia syrdarjensis (Obenberger, 1934)	Salix sp.	Bily, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Buprestis salomonii (Thomson, 1878)	Populus sp., Salix sp. etc.	Volkovitsh, Alexeev, 1994; Kuban, 2006a	
Buprestidae	Capnodis miliaris (Klug, 1829)	P. diversifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963; Kuban, 2006b; Ghobari et.al., 2012	rare species
Buprestidae	Capnodis sexmaculata (Ballion, 1871)	Fraxinus sogdiana	Kuban, 2006b; Kazenas et. al., 2013	monitoring insect species
Buprestidae	Cratomerus elaeagni (Richter, 1945)	E. angustifolia	Richter, 1949; Bily, 2006; Kostin, 2007	damages trunks and branches
Buprestidae	Cratomerus fariniger (Kraatz, 1882)	Salix sp., Populus sp.	Kostin, 1973, 2007; Bily, 2006	
Buprestidae	Cratomerus fedtschenkoi (Semenov, 1896)	H. halodendron	Kostin, 1973, 2007; Bily, 2006	serious pest
Buprestidae	Cratomerus (Cratomerus) medvedevorum (Alexeev, 1978)		Volkovitsh, Alexeev, 1994; Bily, 2006	endemic species
Buprestidae	Chrysobothris deserticola (Semenov, Richter, 1934)	E. angustifolia, H. halodendron	Kostin, 1973; Seitova, 1974	
Buprestidae	Chrysobothris nana (Fairmaire, 1892)	Populus sp., Salix sp. etc.	Volkovitsh, Alexeev, 1994; Kuban, 2006a	
Buprestidae	Cylindromorphus popovii (Mannerheim, 1853)	P. diversifolia	Ishkov, 1995; Kuban, 2006c; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Cyphosoma tataricum (Pallas, 1771)	Tamarix sp.	Kuban, 2006a; Kostin, 2007; Tleppaeva, 2013	feeds on assi- milating twigs
Buprestidae	Dicerca aenea validiuscula Semenov, 1896	E. angustifolia	Arnoldi, 1952; Kuban, 2006a; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Eurythyrea aurata (Pallas, 1776)	P. diversifolia	Sinadskiy, 1964; Kuban, 2006b	
Buprestidae	Habroloma aureum Semenov, 1890	Salix sp., Tamarix sp.	Tleppaeva, 2013; Kuban, 2006c	
Buprestidae	Julodis variolaris (Pallas, 1771)	P. diversifolia, F. sogdiana	Aybasov, 1974; Volkovitsh, Kuban, 2006; Kazenas et al., 2013	monitoring insect species
Buprestidae	Melanophila picta picta (Pallas, 1773)	P. diversifolia	Richter, 1949; Aybasov, 1974; Kuban, 2006c	inhabits in wood
Buprestidae	Meliboeus (Meliboeus) reitteri (Semenov, 1890)	P. diversifolia	Ishkov, 1995; Kuban, 2006c; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Paracylindromorphus subuliformis subliformis (Mannerheim, 1837)	P. diversifolia, Salix sp.	Ishkov, 1995; Kuban, 2006c; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Sphenoptera balassagloi balassogloi (Jakovlev, 1885)	Tamarix sp.	Volkovitsh, Alexeev, 1994; Volkovitsh, 2006	
Buprestidae	Sphenoptera exarata Fischer von Waldheim, 1824)	<i>Salix</i> sp.	Volkovitsh, Kalashian, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Sphenoptera ignita (Reitter, 1895)	Tamarix sp.	Mityaev, 1955; Volkovitsh, Kalashian, 2006; Kostin, 2007	
Buprestidae	Sphenoptera mesopotamica (Marseul, 1865)	Tamarix sp.	Volkovitsh, Kalashian, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	damages stems

Family	Species	Host plants	Authors	Notes
Buprestidae	Sphenoptera semenovi (Jakovlev, 1889)	<i>Tamarix</i> sp.	Volkovitsh, Kalashian, 2006; Kostin, 2007; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Sphenoptera (Chrysoblemma) t amarisci beckeri (Dohrn, 1866)	P. diversifolia	Ishkov, 1995; Volkovitsh, Kalashian, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Buprestidae	Trachypteris picta picta (Pallas, 1773)	Salix sp.	Volkovitsh, Alexeev, 1994; Kuban, 2006b; Tleppaeva, 2013	inhabits under barl
Buprestidae	Xantherimia koenigi (Ganglbauer, 1888)	P. diversifolia	Ishkov, 1995; Volkovitsh, 2006; Tleppaeva, 2013	
Cerambycidae	Aromia pruinosa (Reitter, 1903)	P. diversifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963	branches, trunks, hollow trees
Cerambycidae	Chlorophorus faldermanni (Faldermann 1837)	P. diversifolia, E. angustifolia, H. halodendron	Plavilstshikov, 1940; Sinadskiy, 1963; Kostin, 1973	serious pest
Cerambycidae	Chlorophorus elaeagni (Plavilstshikov, 1956)	E. angustifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963; Kostin, 1973, 2007	
Cerambycidae	Cleroclytus semirufus (Kraatz, 1884)	E. angustifolia	Kostin, 1973	
Cerambycidae	Molorchus kiesenwetteri (Mulsant, 1861)	E. angustifolia	Kostin, 1973, 2007	
Cerambycidae	Prionus angustatus (Jakovlev, 1887)	P. diversifolia	Plavilstshikov, 1936; Sinadskiy, 1963; Kostin 2007	serious pest
Cerambycidae	Saperda populnea (Linnaeus, 1758)	<i>Populus</i> sp.	Kolov, Temreshev, 2012	found in the flood land of the Chilik River, Kazakhstar
Cerambycidae	Tetrops elaeagni (Plavilstshikov, 1954) = Tetrops plaviltshikovi (Kostin, 1973)	E. angustifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963; Kostin, 1973	
Cerambycidae	Turanium scabrum (Kraatz, 1882)	P. diversifolia, E. angustifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963	serious pest
Cerambycidae	Xylotrechus grumi (Semenov, 1889)	E. angustifolia	Khamraev, 2003; Kostin, 2007	trunks and branches serious pest
Cerambycidae	Xylotrechus namanganensis (Heyden, 1885)	P. diversifolia	Plavilstshikov, 1940; Sinadskiy, 1963; Khamraev, 2003; Kostin, 2007	inhabits wood; serious pest
Anthribidae	Tropideres sp.	P. diversifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963	trunks and branche
Anthribidae	Tropideres albirostris (Herbst, 1783)	P. diversifolia	Temreshev, 2014	trunks and branche
Curculionidae: Scolytinae	Eremotes subasperatus (Reitter, 1898)	P. diversifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963	trunks and branche
Curculionidae: Scolytinae	Rhyncolus culinaris (Hustache, 1931)	E. angustifolia	Sinadskiy, 1963	trunks and branche
Curculionidae: Scolytinae	Scolytus jaroschevskyi (Schevyrew, 1893)	E. angustifolia	Kostin, 1973; Petrov, Kuzmichev, 1994	trunks and branche
Curculionidae: Scolytinae	Taphronurgus exul (Reitter, 1913)	Clematis sp.	Stark, 1952; Kostin, 1973, 2007	
Curculionidae: Scolytinae	Xyleborus dryophagus (Ratzeburg, 1837)	Populus sp.	Izhevskiy et al., 2005; Temreshev, 2013	

Table 1. Species diversity of Saproxylic beetles in tugai forests and arid zone of Kazakhstan -Part 3

Family	Species	Host plants	Authors	Notes
Curculionidae	Amesostylus amudarjensis (Arnoldi, 1952)	P. diversifolia	Arnoldi, 1952; Baitenov, 1974	damages leaves
Curculionidae	Chloebius turangi (Bajtenov, 1974)	P. diversifolia	Baitenov, 1974; Temreshev, 2014	endemic
Curculionidae	Coniatus schrenki (Gebler, 1841)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1974; Mityaev, Yashenko, 2007	
Curculionidae	Coniatus splendidulus (Fabricius, 1781)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1968, 1974; Mityaev, Yashenko, 2007	inhabits floodplain of the Ili River
Curculionidae	Corigetus setulifer (Reitter, 1902)	P. diversifolia	Baitenov, 1974	
Curculionidae	Liocleonus clathratus (Olivier, 1807)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1974; Mityaev, Yashenko, 2007; Kostin, 2007; Temreshev, 2014	serious pest; inhabits roots
Curculionidae	<i>Lixus algirus</i> (Linaeus, 1758)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1968, 1974; Temreshev, 2014	
Curculionidae	Lixus causticus (Faust, 1886)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1968, 1974; Temreshev, 2014	
Curculionidae	Lixus incanescens (Boheman, 1835)	Tamarix sp.	Mityaev, Yashenko, 2007	stems and roots
Curculionidae	Megamecus urbanus (Reitter, 1915)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1968, 1974; Temreshev, 2014	
Curculionidae	Megamecus variegatus (Gebler, 1830)	Tamarix sp.	Baitenov, 1968, 1974; Temreshev, 2014	
Curculionidae	Polydrosus tscharynensis (Bajtenov, 1971)	P. diversifolia	Baitenov, 1974	
Curculionidae	Phyllobius piri (Linnaeus, 1758)	P. diversifolia	Baitenov, 1974	
Curculionidae	Rhyncolus nefaris (Faust, 1885)	P. diversifolia	Baitenov, 1974; Temreshev, 2014	wood
Curculionidae	Temnocerus elaeagni (Legalov, 2006)	E. angustifolia	Legalov, 2006; Legalov, Korotyaev, 2006	
Curculionidae	Temnorhinus strabus Gyllenhal, 1834)	Tamarix sp.	Temreshev, 2014	
Curculionidae	Valichanovia kostini (Bajtenov, 1969)	Populus pruinosa, E. angustifolia	Baitenov, 1974; Temreshev, 2014	timber and roots; endemic species

Table 1. Species diversity of Saproxylic beetles in tugai forests and arid zone of Kazakhstan -Part 4

forest ecosystems increasingly have been replaced by agricultural land, in particular cotton plantations (T h e v s et al., 2012). Currently, due to widespread regulation of the flow and hydraulic engineering in Central Asia, the natural regeneration of tugai forests ceased (T r e s h k i n, 2011).

The existence of the tugais is closely connected with the level of underground water and the over-

flow of the rivers. All tugai vegetation stops growing and becomes dry when the level of underground water decreases during the poor overflow of the rivers (K o l e s n i c h e n k o, 2013). According to the study of some authors, if a river branch falls dry or turns into an episodic river branch, the tugai forests will survive as long as they can reach the groundwater. Therefore, along the Tarim River, they found a high diversity regarding ground-water levels under tugai forests (T h e v s et al., 2012). Along the Amu Darya's middle reaches, the establishment of tugai forests is only possible in the river valley; because the river will not flood the desert out-side the valley (T h e v s et al., 2012). Drying of the Amu Darya River delta led to a reduction in the occupied areas of basic tugai phytocenosis. For example, if the total area of tugai woodlands amounted to 120 000 ha in the early 1960s (B a h i y e v, T r e s h k i n, 1994), in 1970s it was no more than 52.3 000 ha (B a h i y e v, 1985).

Because of the specificity of the tugai forests, such as peculiarity of the trees and shrubs, climatic conditions, soil and hydrology, they have their own species of insect fauna. In spite of the harsh climatic conditions, low humidity and species limitations of trees and shrubs, the forest insect fauna is very diverse in the tugais (S i n a d s k i y, 1963). Beetles (Coleoptera) are the most important component of the insect fauna of the tugai forests (B u r d a y e v, 2002). Representatives of Coleoptera hold a dominant position in the number of species and the importance (S a m e d o v, M i r z o y e v a, 1985).

Saproxylic beetles are a key group for assessing forest biodiversity (Horak, 2011; Horak et al., 2012). The obligate saproxylic beetles are dead wood dependent; indicator species prefer close-to-natural forests (Horak, 2011; Horak, Rebl, 2012). Saproxylic beetles do account for a large portion of saproxylic biodiversity (just behind fungi) (S c h i e g g, 2000; Martikainen, Kouki, 2003). They are widespread, numerous, species-rich, and easy to sample; they include representatives of many trophic guilds with a wide range of microhabitat preferences (Bouget et al., 2008; Brin et al., 2009). They are known to exhibit greater site specificity than vertebrates, and they often respond to environmental changes more rapidly than vascular plants or vertebrates (Martikainen, Kaila, 2004; Fayt et al., 2006). Therefore, they are assumed to provide valuable information on the quality and continuity of woodland habitats (S e b e k et al., 2012).

Xylophilous beetles, a part of the saproxylic beetles, are the ecological group that brings together species with all or most of their life cycle under bark or in the wood of dead or weakened trees (Plavilstshikov, 1932; Vorontsov, 1963, 1984). The representatives of xylophilous beetles are a fundamental component of insect communities living in decaying wood (Raphes, 1968, 1980; Isayev, Gire, 1975). By participating in the processes of the natural thinning of forest stands, the destruction of bark and wood, they accelerate the cycle of matter and energy, as well as maintaining the stability of forest ecosystems (Emets, Logvinovskiy, 1977; Nikitskiy, 1980). The important biogeocenotic role of xylophilous Coleoptera makes them an integral component of forest biogeocenosis (Krivolutskaya, 1983; Krivosheina, Panov, 1993; Lindeman, 1994). Stadial distribution of xylophilous Coleoptera was expressed in the formation of specific groups in different types of forest stands and on different tree species (Chernyshev, 1996; Demakov, 2000; Burdayev, 2002).

Species richness and species composition of saproxylic beetles depend on factors such as forest stand age, silvicultural treatment, dead wood, etc. (R o s e n b e r g et al., 1986; Vaisanen et al., 1993; Siitonen, 1994). Young forests had lower species richness and hosted a significantly different suite of species than medium-aged or older ones (Nilsson et al., 1995; Okland et al., 1996; Kaila et al., 1997). Harvested stands had lower species richness and were host to a significantly different suite of species than unharvested stands (Klimmins, 1997; Muona, 1999; Noss, 1999).

Saproxylic beetles are considered stands pioneers, as they are often the first to colonize dead wood (H a m m o n d et al., 2001; S i i t o n e n, 2001; G r o v e, 2002). These beetles are a functional group of Coleoptera that depend, at some point in their life cycle, on dead or decaying wood or fungi associated with deadwood (R a n i u s, L a n s s o n, 2002; S v e r d r u p -T h y g e s o n, I m s, 2002; W e r m e l i n g e r et al., 2002). Beetle assemblages are different in forests with different disturbance histories and the turnover of beetle assemblages is higher among naturally disturbed forests than among managed forests (W i k a r s et al., 2005; G i b b et al., 2006; B i s h o p et al., 2009).

Scientists use different methods for trapping saproxylic beetles. Some of them use light traps while others use nets. More usually, window traps are used for catching saproxylic beetles. Some authors found that window traps were the most effective type in sampling saproxylic beetles (Martikainen, Kouki, 2003; Simila et al., 2003; Hammond et al., 2004; Dollin et al., 2008).

Window-flight trapping (WFT, also called Flight-Intercept Trapping (FIT), window/barrier trapping or collision trapping), developed by C h a p m a n, K i n g h o r n (1955) and later by P e c k, D a v i e s (1980), is currently the most frequently used technique for catching flying active saproxylic beetles (O k l a n d, 1996). Window flight traps consist of a vertical barrier to insect flight that is considered invisible to the insect. On hitting the barrier, most beetles drop down and fall into a collection container with liquid preservatives (B o u g e t et al., 2008).

Window trap captures are more suitable for comparing different forest environments (K a i l a et al., 1994; O k l a n d, 1996). For example, *Agrilus planipennis* (Fairmaire) (Coleoptera, Buprestidae) catch occurred on purple traps than on red or white traps. Traps placed in the mid-canopy of ash trees (13 m) caught significantly more beetles than those placed at ground level (Francese et al., 2008).

#### CONCLUSION

In this review, using different references, 85 species from 7 families (Brentidae, Ptinidae, Bostrichidae, Buprestidae, Cerambycidae, Anthribidae, and Curculionidae) were mentioned. The most abundant families of saproxylic beetles in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan are Buprestidae (41 species), Curculionidae (22 species), and Cerambycidae (11 species). Many species of these families are serious pests for the main trees of the tugai forests. Among Buprestidae, Agrilus viridis viridis (Linnaeus, 1758), Anthaxia heydeni (Abeille de Perrin, 1894), and Cratomerus elaeagni (Richter, 1945) are pests of E. angustifolia. Sphenoptera mesopotamica (Marseul, 1865) is the pest of Tamarix sp. Cratomerus (Cratomerus) medvedevorum (Alexeev, 1978) is an endemic species of the tugai forests. Capnodis sexmaculata (Ballion, 1871) and Julodis variolaris (Pallas, 1771) are monitoring insect species. Among Cerambycidae, Aromia pruinosa (Reitter, 1903) and Chlorophorus faldermanni (Faldermann 1837) are pests serious for P. diversifolia, E. angustifolia, and H. halodendron. Prionus angustatus (Jakovlev, 1887) is a serious pest of P. diversifolia, as well as Turanium scabrum (Kraatz, 1882) is a serious pest of P. diversifolia and E. angustifolia. Among Curculionidae, Liocleonus clathratus (Olivier, 1807) is a serious pest of Tamarix sp. Chloebius turangi (Bajtenov, 1974) and Valichanovia kostini (Bajtenov, 1969) are endemic species of P. diversifolia, P. pruinosa, and E. angustifolia in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan. Other families have a lower number of species, but some of them are also pests. For example, Allomalia quadrivirgata (Costa, 1863) and Titanomalia komaroffi (Faust, 1877) of Brentidae: Nanophyinae are pests of *Tamarix* sp.; Tropideres albirostris (Herbst, 1783) of Anthribidae is the pest of P. diversifolia.

According the used references, most species (36) of the saproxylic beetles are related to *Populus* sp. (*P. diversifolia* and *P. pruinosa*). Furthermore, 21 species inhabit *E. angustifolia*, 20 species *Tamarix* sp., and 17 *Salix* sp. The least number of saproxylic beetle species are found in *F. sogdiana* (2 species) and *H. halodendron* (3 species). Thus, the saproxylic beetle fauna of *Populus* sp. (*P. diversifolia* and *P. pruinosa*) is the most diverse and the most studied.

According to the results, the saproxylic beetle fauna in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan is very diverse (85 species from 7 families). However, the degradation processes which take place in the tugais lead to reduction of the saproxylic beetle fauna. Therefore, the activities towards restoration of the tugai forests should be done in Kazakhstan.

Despite the valuable data on the diversity of saproxylic beetles provided by different researchers, their further study is required to extend knowledge about the beetle fauna diversity in the tugai forests of Kazakhstan.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author gives special thanks to Ing. Oto Nakládal (Prague, Czech Republic) for great help in writing of the review.

#### REFERENCES

- Arnoldi LV (1952): Overview of beetles in the middle and lower parts of the Ural River, their ecological distribution and economic significance. Proceedings of Zoology Institute of AS USSR, 14, 44–65. (in Russian)
- Aybasov HA (1974): Beetles pests of Turanga in the southeast of Kazakhstan. Proceedings of the Institute of Zoology of Kazakhstan Academy of Sciences, 35, 143–154. (in Russian)
- Bahiyev AB (1985): Ecology and change of plant communities of Amudarya lower reach. FAN UzSSR, Tashkent. (in Russian)
- Bahiyev AB, Treshkin SE (1994): Dynamics of productivity of tugai communities in the delta of the Amu Darya in the conditions of the changing hydrological regime of the territory. Ecology, 5–6, 19–22. (in Russian)
- Baitenov MS (1968): Determinant of snout beetles *Lixus* F., *Curculionidae* of Kazakhstan. Bulletin of Kazakhstan Agricultural Science, 2, 111–116. (in Russian)
- Baitenov MS (1974): Snout beetles of Middle Asia and Kazakhstan. Nauka, Alma-Ata. (in Russian)
- Baizakov SB, Medvedev AN, Iskakov SI, Mukanov BM (2007): Forest cultures in Kazakhstan. Volume 2. Forest cultures, forest meliorations. Agrouniversity, Almaty. (in Russian)
- Bily S (2003): Summary of the bionomy of the buprestid beetles of Central Europe (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae, Suppl. 10, 1–104.
- Bily S (2006): Buprestoidea: Buprestidae: Buprestinae: Anthaxiini. In: Lobl I, Smetana A (eds): Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera. Vol. 3. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 369–381.
- Bishop DJ, Majka CG, Bondrup-Nielsen S, Peck SB (2009):
  Deadwood and saproxylic beetle diversity in naturally disturbed and managed spruce forests in Nova Scotia. Zoo Keys, 22, 309–340. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.22.144.
- Bouchard P, Bousque Y, Davies AE, Alonson-Zarazaga MA, Lawrence JF, Lyal CHC, Newton AF, Reid CAM, Schmidt M, Slipinski SA, Smith ABT (2011): Family-group names in Coleoptera (Insecta). ZooKeys, 88, 1–972. doi: 10.3897/ zookeys.88.807.
- Bouget C, Brustel H, Brin A, Noblecourt T (2008): Sampling saproxylic beetles with window flight traps: methodological insight. Revue d'ecologie – la Terre et la Vie, 63, 13–24.
- Brin A, Brustel H, Jactel H (2009): Species variables or environmental variables as indicators of forest biodiversity: a case study using saproxylic beetles in Maritime pine plantations. Annals of Forest Science, 66, 306–316. doi: 10.1051/ forest/2009009.

- Burdayev AV (2002): Structure of xylophilous beetles population in the southern part of the forest-steppe Volga region. Synopsis of the candidate of biological sciences, Samara, Russia. (in Russian)
- Chapman JA, Kinghorn JM (1955): Window flight traps for insects. The Canadian Entomologist, 87, 46–47. doi: 10.4039/ Ent8746-1.
- Chernyshev VB (1996): Ecology of insects. MGU Publishing House, Moscow. (in Russian)
- Demakov YP (2000): Impact of xylophagous insects on tree fall. Forestry, 3, 52–54. (in Russian)
- Dollin PE, Majka CG, Duinker PN (2008): Saproxylic beetle (Coleoptera) communities and forest management practices in coniferous stands in southwestern Nova Scotia, Canada. Zoo Keys, 2, 291–336. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.2.15.
- Eastwood A, Lazkov G, Newton A (2009): The Red list of trees of Central Asia. Fauna and Flora International, Cambridge.
- Emets VM, Logvinovskiy VD (1977): Review of grinder beetles of genus *Xyletinus* Latr. (Coleoptera, Anobiidae) in fauna of USSR. Entomological Review, 56, 409–419. (in Russian)
- Fayt P, Dufrene M, Branquart E, Hastir P, Pontegnie C, Henin JM, Versteirt V (2006): Contrasting responses of saproxylic insects to focal habitat resources: the example of longhorn beetles and hoverflies in Belgian deciduous forests. Journal of Insect Conservation, 10, 129–150. doi: 10.1007/s10841-006-6289-0.
- Francese JA, Oliver JB, Fraser I, Lance DR, Youssef N, Sawyer AJ, Mastro VC (2008): Influence of trap placement and design on capture of the emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 101, 1831–1837. doi: 10.1603/0022-0493-101.6.1831.
- Ghahari H, Bellamy CL, Sakenin H, Petterson R (2008): A contribution to new records of Iranian Buprestidae (Coleoptera). Munis Entomology and Zoology Journal, 3, 636–642.
- Ghobari H, Kalashian M, Nozari J (2012): Contribution to the knowledge of the jewel beetles (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) fauna of Kurdistan Province of Iran. Part 1. Subfamilies Julodinae, Polycestinae and Chrysochroinae. Caucasian Entomological Bulletin, 8, 232–239.
- Gibb H, Hjalten J, Ball JP, Atlegrim O, Petterson RB, Hilszczanski J, Johansson T, Danell K (2006): Effects of landscape composition and substrate availability on saproxylic beetles in boreal forests: a study using experimental logs for monitoring assemblages. Ecography, 29, 191–204. doi: 10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04372.x.
- Grove SJ (2002): Saproxylic insect ecology and the sustainable management of forests. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 33, 1–23. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150507.
- Hammond HEJ, Langor DW, Spence JR (2001): Early colonization of *Populus* wood by saproxylic beetles (Coleoptera). Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 31, 1175–1183.
- Hammond HEJ, Langor DW, Spence JR (2004): Saproxylic beetles (Coleoptera) using *Populus* in boreal aspen stands of

western Canada: spatiotemporal variation and conservation of assemblages. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 34, 1–19.

- Horak J (2011): Response of saproxylic beetles to tree species composition in a secondary urban forest area. Urban Forestry and Urban Greening, 10, 213–222. doi: 10.1016/j. ufug.2011.04.002.
- Horak J, Rebl K. (2012): The species richness of click beetles in ancient pasture woodland benefits from a high level of sun exposure. Insect Conservation, 17, 307–318. doi: 10.1007/ s10841-012-9511-2.
- Horak J, Chumanova E, Hilszczanski J (2012): Saproxylic beetle thrives on the openness in management: a case study on the ecological requirements of *Cucujus cinnaberinus* from Central Europe. Insect Conservation and Diversity, 5, 403–413. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-4598.2011.00173.x.
- Isayev AS, Gire GI (1975): Interaction between tree and xylophagous insects. Nauka, Novosibirsk. (in Russian)
- Ishkov EV (1995): Beetles the inhabitants of tamarisk in the tugai forests of middle stream in the Ili River. Proceedings of the Academy of Sciences of Kazakhstan, Biological and Medical Series, 6, 29–31. (in Russian)
- Izhevskiy SS, Nikitskiy NB, Volkov OG, Dolgin, MM (2005): Illustrated guide of beetles – xylophagans – pests of forests and forest materials of Russian Federation. Grif I K, Tula. (in Russian)
- Jendek E (2006): Buprestoidea: Buprestidae: Agrilinae: Agrilina: Agrilina: Agrilus. In: Lobl I, Smetana A (eds): Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol. 3. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 388–403.
- Kaila L, Martikainen P, Punttila P, Yakovlev E (1994): Saproxylic beetles (Coleoptera) on dead birch trunks decayed by different polypore species. Annales Zoologici Fennici, 31, 97–107.
- Kaila L, Martikainen P, Punttila P (1997): Dead trees left in clear-cuts benefit saproxylic Coleoptera adapted to natural disturbances in boreal forest. Biodiversity and Conservation, 6, 1–18. doi: 10.1023/A:1018399401248.
- Kazenas VL, Baizhanov MH, Ahmetov EM, Nysanbayeva GN (2013): Monitoring insect species in Charyn State National Nature Park. Proceedings of Charyn State National Nature Park, 1, 103–128. (in Russian)
- Khamraev ASh (2003): Soil organisms and entomocomplexes in Khorezm and Karakalpakstan (Uzbekistan). ZEF Work Papers for Sustainable Development in Central Asia, 6, 67.
- Klimmins JP (1997): Forest ecology: a foundation for sustainable management. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Kolesnichenko Yu (2013): Review about study of tugai forests and reasons of their degradation. Researches and results of Kazakh National Agrarian University, 3, 123–128. (in Russian)
- Kolesnichenko Yu, Nakladal O (2014): Saproxylic beetles of main tree species in Kazakhstan tugai forests. In: Bryja J, Drozd P. (eds): Conference Zoo Days, Ostrava, Czech Republic, 98–99.

Kolesnichenko YuS, Nakladal O, Akramov MB, Sartbayev ZhT (2014): Study of saproxylic beetles of some tree species in the tugai forests of the Ili River. Researches and results of Kazakh National Agrarian University, 2, 147–151.

Kolov SV, Temreshev II (2012): The Toraygyr ridge beetle fauna (Insecta, Coleoptera) in South-East Kazakhstan. Euroasian Entomological Journal, 11, 337–342. (in Russian)

Kostin IA (1973): The dendrophagous beetles of Kazakhstan (Buprestidae, Cerambycidae, Ipidae). Nauka, Alma-Ata. (in Russian)

Kostin IA (2007): The dendrophagous beetles of desert zone of Kazakhstan (Buprestidae, Cerambycidae, Ipidae, Bostrichidae, Lictidae, Eucnemidae, Curculionidae). AO Pitomnik, Almaty. (in Russian)

Krivolutskaya GO (1983): Ecological geographical characteristic of bark beetle fauna (Coleoptera, Scolytidae) in northeast Asia. Entomological Review, 62, 287–301. (in Russian)

Krivosheina NP, Panov AA (1993): Entomological complexes of valley forests in desert zone. Nauka, Moscow. (in Russian)

Kuban V (2006a): Buprestoidea: Buprestidae: Chrysochroinae: Dicercini. In: Lobl I, Smetana A (eds): Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol. 3. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 346–350.

Kuban V (2006b): Buprestoidea: Buprestidae: Buprestinae. In: Lobl I, Smetana A (eds): Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol. 3. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 369–388.

Kuban V (2006c): Buprestoidea: Buprestidae: Agrilinae. In: Lobl I, Smetana A (eds): Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol. 3. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 388–421.

Legalov AA (2006): To the knowledge of the genus *Temnocerus* Thunberg, 1815 (Coleoptera: Rhynchitidae). Far Eastern Entomologist, 165, 1–14.

Legalov AA, Korotyaev BA (2006): A new species of the genus *Temnocerus* Thunb. (Coleoptera: Rhynchitidae) from Kazakhstan. Baltic Journal of Coleopterology, 6, 125–127.

Lindeman GV (1994): Structure of xylophagous insect populations. Silviculture, 3, 3–9. (in Russian)

Logvinovskiy VD (1978): New genus and species of Coleoptera, Anobiidae from Kazakhstan and Central Asia. Proceedings of Zoology Institute of AS USSR, 71, 26–30. (in Russian)

Logvinovskiy VD (1985): Fauna of the USSR. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 14, part 2. Family Anobiidae. Nauka, Leningrad. (in Russian)

Martikainen P, Kaila L (2004): Sampling saproxylic beetles: lessons from a 10-year monitoring study. Biological Conservation, 120, 171–181. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2004.02.009.

Martikainen P, Kouki J (2003): Sampling the rarest: threatened beetles in boreal biodiversity inventories. Biodiversity and Conservation, 12, 1815–1831. doi: 10.1023/A:1024132829581.

Mityaev ID (1955): Review of insects – pests of tamarisk of Alakul Balkhash basin. Proceedings of the Institute of Zoology of SSR Kazakhstan Academy of Sciences, 8, 74–97. (in Russian)

Mityaev ID, Yashenko RV (2007): Insects – pests of tamarix in South-West Kazakhstan. Tethys, Almaty. (in Russian) Muona J (1999): Trapping beetles in boreal coniferous forest - how many species do we miss? Entomologica Fennica, 177, 11–16.

Nikitskiy NB (1980): Insects predators of bark beetles and their ecology. Nauka, Moscow. (in Russian)

Nilsson SG, Arup U, Baranowski R, Ekman S (1995): Treedependent lichens and beetles as indicators in conservation forests. Conservation Biology, 9, 1208–1215. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1739.1995.9051199.x-i1.

Noss RF (1999): Assessing and monitoring forest biodiversity: a suggested framework and indicators. Forest Ecology and Management, 115, 135–146. doi: 10.1016/ S0378-1127(98)00394-6.

Okland B (1996): A comparison of three methods of trapping saproxylic beetles. European Journal of Entomology, 93, 195-209.

Okland B, Bakke A, Hagvar S, Kvamme T (1996): What factors influence the diversity of saproxylic beetles? A multiscaled study from a spruce forest in southern Norway. Biodiversity and Conservation, 5, 75–100.

Peck SB, Davies AE (1980): Collecting small beetles with large area window traps. Coleopterian Bulletin, 34, 237–239.

Petrov AV, Kuzmichev EP (1994): Drying of *Elaeagnus* sp. on the west coast of the Caspian Sea under the influence of *Scolytus jaroschevskyi* and pathogenic microflora. Forestry, 3, 48–53. (in Russian)

Plavilstshikov NN (1932): Timber-beetles are pests of wood. Goslestehizdat, Moscow, Leningrad. (in Russian)

Plavilstshikov NN (1936): Fauna of USSR. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 21, part 1. Family Cerambycidae. Academy of Sciences of USSR, Moscow. (in Russian)

Plavilstshikov NN (1940): Fauna of USSR. Insecta. Coleoptera.Vol. 22, part 2. Family Cerambycidae. Academy of Sciences of USSR, Moscow, Leningrad. (in Russian)

Plavilstshikov NN (1958): Cerambycidae. Part 3. Subfamily Lamiinae, Part 1. Fauna of the USSR, Coleoptera, Vol. 23 (1), Academy of Sciences of USSR, Moscow, Leningrad. (in Russian)

Prohorov AI (1982): Tugai forests of Kazakhstan. Kainar, Almaty. (in Russian)

Ranius T, Lansson N (2002): A comparison of three methods to survey saproxylic beetles in hollow oaks. Biodiversity and Conservation, 11, 1759-1771. doi: 10.1023/A:1020343030085.

Raphes PM (1968): Role and significance of herbivorous insects in a forest. Nauka, Moscow. (in Russian)

Raphes PM (1980): Biocenological researches of herbivorous forest insects. Nauka, Moscow. (in Russian)

Richter AA (1949): Fauna of USSR. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol.13. Buprestidae. Part 2. Academy of Sciences of USSR, Moscow, Leningrad. (in Russian)

Rosenberg DM, Danks HV, Lehmkuhl DM (1986): Importance of insects in environmental impact assessment. Environmental Management, 10, 773–783. doi: 10.1007/BF01867730. Samedov N, Mirzoyeva N (1985): Review of the leaf beetles fauna (*Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae*) of the tugai forests in Azerbaijan. Entomological Review, 64, 705–714. (in Russian)

Schiegg K (2000): Are there saproxylic beetle species characteristic of high dead wood connectivity? Ecography, 23, 579–587. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0587.2000.230509.x.

Sebek P, Barnouin T, Brin A, Brustel H, Dufrene M, Gosselin F, Meriguet B, Micas M, Noblecourt T, Rose O, Velle L, Bouget C (2012): A test for assessment of saproxylic beetle biodiversity using subsets of "monitoring species". Ecological Indicators, 20, 304–315. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.02.033.

Seitova MN (1974): By entomofauna of sand acacia Ammodendron argenteum Pall. (Kize.) in Sarytaukum. Proceedings of the Institute of Zoology of SSR Kazakhstan Academy of Sciences, 35, 135–142. (in Russian)

Siitonen J (1994): Decaying wood and saproxylic Coleoptera in two old spruce forests: a comparison based on two sampling methods. Annales Zoologici Fennici, 31, 89–95.

Siitonen J (2001): Forest management, coarse woody debris and saproxylic organisms: Fennoscandian boreal forests as an example. Ecological Bulletins, 49, 11–42.

Simila M, Kouki J, Martikainen P (2003): Saproxylic beetles in managed and seminatural Scots pine forests: quality of dead wood matters. Forest Ecology and Management, 174, 365–381.

Sinadskiy UV (1963): Pests of the tugai forests in Central Asia and their control measures. Academy of Sciences of USSR, Moscow, Leningrad. (in Russian)

Sinadskiy UV (1964): Pests and diseases of desert forests. Academy of Sciences of USSR, Moscow. (in Russian)

Sinadskiy UV (1968): Dendrophagus insects in deserts of Central Asia and Kazakhstan and their control measures. Nauka, Moscow. (in Russian)

Stark VN (1952): Fauna of USSR. Insects. Coleoptera. Vol.23. Bark beetles. Academy of Sciences of USSR, Moscow. (in Russian)

Sverdrup-Thygeson A, Ims RA (2002): The effect of forest clear cutting in Norway on the community of saproxylic beetles on aspen. Biological Conservation, 106, 347–357. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00261-0.

Temreshev II (2013): Fauna of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) of Kazakhstan. In: Proc. International Scientific Practical Conference Scientific Methodical Foundations of Composition of Wildlife State Cadaster in Kazakhstan Republic and Adjacent Countries, Almaty, Kazakhstan, 292–300. (in Russian)

Temreshev II (2014): Snout-beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionoidea) of Altyn-Emel State National Nature Park and adjacent territories. In: Proc. International Scientific Practical Conference Contemporary Issues of Game Management in Kazakhstan and Adjacent Countries, Almaty, Kazakhstan, 524–531. (in Russian)

Thevs N, Zerbe S, Schnittler M, Abdusalih N, Succow M (2008): Structure, reproduction and flood-induced dynamics of riparian tugai forests at the Tarim River in Xinjiang, NW China. Forestry, 81, 45–57. doi: 10.1093/forestry/cpm043.

- Thevs N, Buras A, Zerbe S, Kuhnel E, Abdusalih N, Ovezberdiyeva A (2012): Structure and wood biomass of near-natural floodplain forests along the Central Asian rivers Tarim and Amu Darya. Forestry, 85, 193–202. doi: 10.1093/forestry/ cpr056.
- Tleppaeva AM (2013): Species diversity of jewel beetles (Coleoptera, Buprestidae) in floodplain habitats of the rivers of South-East Kazakhstan. KazNU Bulletin, Biology series, 57, 108–117. (in Russian)
- Treshkin SE (2011): Degradation of tugais in Central Asia and ways of their restoration: synopsis of the doctor of agricultural sciences. Volgograd, Russia. (in Russian)

Vaisanen R, Bistrom O, Heliovaara K (1993): Sub-cortical Coleoptera in dead pines and spruces: is primeval species composition maintained in managed forests? Biodiversity Conservation, 2, 95–113. doi: 10.1007/BF00056127.

Volkovitsh MG (1979a): To the morphology of Jewel beetles larvae of Genus *Acmaeoderella* Cobos (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Proceedings of the Zoological Institute of the USSR Academy of Sciences, 83, 21–38.

Volkovitsh MG (1979b): A review of Palaearctic groups of the tribe Acmaeoderini (Coleoptera, Buprestidae). Entomological Review, 58, 333–354. (in Russian)

Volkovitsh MG (2006): Buprestidae: Polycestinae. In: Lobl I, Smetana A (eds): Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol.3. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 330–342.

Volkovitsh MG, Alexeev AV (1994): Buprestid beetles (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) from Kopetdagh and the adjacent regions of Southern Turkmenistan. In: Fet V, Atamuradov KI (eds): Biogeography and ecology of Turkmenistan. Kluwer, Netherlands, 419–449.

Volkovitsh MG, Danilevsky ML (1987): Larvae of some jewel beetle species Tribe Acmaeoderini (Coleoptera, Buprestidae). Proceedings of the Zoological Institute of the USSR Academy of Sciences, 170, 52–61.

Volkovitsh MG, Kalashian MS (2006): Buprestidae: Chrysochroinae: Sphenopterini. In: Lobl I, Smetana A (eds): Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol. 3. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 352–369.

Volkovitsh MG, Kuban V (2006): Buprestidae: Julodinae. In: Lobl I, Smetana A (eds): Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol. 3. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 325–330.

Volodchenko AN (2015): Early colonization of oaks by xylobiotic beetles in floodplain of Saratov region, Russia. Proceedings of Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, 211, 6–18. (in Russian)

Vorontsov AI (1963): Biological basics of forest protection. Vysshaya Shkola, Moscow. (in Russian)

Vorontsov AI (1984): Biological forest protection. Lesnaya Promyshlennost, Moscow. (in Russian)

Wermelinger B, Duelli P, Obrist MD (2002): Dynamics of saproxylic beetles (Coleoptera) in wind-throw areas in alpine spruce forests. Forest Snow and Landscape Research, 77, 133–148.

Wikars L-O, Sahlin E, Ranius T (2005): A comparison of three methods to estimate species richness of saproxylic beetles

(Coleoptera) in logs and high stumps of Norway spruce. The Canadian Entomologist, 137, 304–324. doi: 10.4039/n04-104.

Zaktreger I (1927): Tugai forests of lower flow of Amu-Darya River. Academy of Science of USSR, Leningrad. (in Russian)

Corresponding Author:

Ing. Yuliya B o r i s s o v a , MSc., Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Prague 6-Suchdol, Czech Republic, phone: +7 705 550 11 56, e-mail: yuliyakaznau2015@gmail.com