ECO-BIO BRIQUETTES – ECOLOGIC AND ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF BIOMASS TECHNOLOGIES

J. Švasta, J. Získal

Czech University of Life Sciences, Faculty of Economics and Management, Department of Operation and Systems Analysis, Prague, Czech Republic

The paper concerns utilization of variant multicriterial approaches within resolution of selected groups of decision-making problems in product vertical of agrarian manufacturing complex. The decision-making range is determined by options of effective and ecological acceptable definitive utilization of biomass of crop production, esp. cereals as key commodity of the Czech agrarian primary production. The paper focuses on energetic and non-food exploitation of brans for bio-briquette production. The methodology of multicriterial evaluation through methods of complex variant analysis was used for this problem solution.

cereal biomass; bio-briquette; multicriterial approach; ekological criteria; system impacts of resolution; fossil fuel; multicriterial comparation; fuzzy aspects

INTRODUCTION

Biomass and its energetic utilization were not given so much consideration in the past in the Czech Republic, even if it deserved so. Energy obtained from combustion of biomass is the oldest energy used by people. Mass incineration of organic origin means the lower burden for global clime and offers cost minimalization of combusting technologies. This approach offers both ecological effects and the higher economic effectiveness in comparison with the classic fuels.

This paper reflects the need to solve the utilization of mill by-products, esp. brans which are cumulated in the mills and the consumption is increasingly problematic. This situation is caused by decreasing interest of farmers used brans as feed due to decline in count of polygastric animals. The negative situation impacts on the storage of the bulk quantity of biomass.

The idea of production from surplus brans and other parts of bio-briquette and obtaining energy from cereal biomass by thermo chemical conversion arose on the Department of Operation and Systems Analysis in the Czech University of Life Sciences. The authors have been occupied with these issues for a few years. The research contains well-done experiments on compression tests of particular structure parts of bio-briquettes, comparative analysis on utilization relations proportion, ecological and residual characters etc. The results turned up well.

The renewable resources of energy, in which biomass belongs, influence importantly on employment and national economy. The great significance contains not only reaching a new energy resource by combustion, improve region ecology and offer special commodity production which does not serve for the food purposes. Instead of food production, agriculture and food industry could produce energy which will be always necessary for people.

Goals and methodology

The paper aim is to pay attention to possibilities to use a cereal biomass as energy resource. The result affects on the general interest in looking for the substitutions for the fossil energetic resources which are not unlimited. However it could provide the possibility of multicriterial view of this field.

We can expect that the interference between the ecological and economic aspects will escalate in the social economic and technological systems. The most decisions with global impacts are the source of conflicts and that is why it is necessary to pay special attention to the particular methodology.

The selection of the best alternative of bio-briquette structure (i.e. the variant matching the criteria choice) is based on the method of complex variant analysis. These methods arise from analysis of preference comparison between two options in which are utilized the characters of relation of composition within the particular set. The compositing relation could be formulated by the different approaches. It offers the reciprocal relations among the considerated components. The selection of best (optimal) variant from the particular variant set is very complicated because the definition "best" contain the very strong intuitive meaning. On the other hand the crucial problem is to find the criteria selection for evaluation. There are a lot of specific problems in the implementation of multicriterial approach in the field of agriculture and food industry. The final success of multicriterial evaluation depends on the structure of assumptions.

The group of variants for the decision selection is a basic condition. The structure of variants could be defined by the two different ways: 1^{st} one in explicit form (i.e. final count of alternatives) and 2^{nd} one in implicit form by condition specification (i.e. the degree of variant fulfilment for evaluation as acceptable).



Fig. 1. Bio-briquette produced from soft wood

Fig. 2. Bio-briquette produced from hard wood

Fig. 3. Bio-briquette produced from a combination of two previous structures

(Figs 1-3 was made by M. Táborský - September 2003)

The list of criteria for particular variant comparison is the key condition. The criteria impact indirectly on the goal which will be reached. Evaluation criteria selection and definition of its influence have to correspond to eco-

Table 1. Components and elements

nomic, ecological and technological views. Criteria selection and the proper analysis of relation impacts are the important presumption for the successful problem solving. The relation analysis is necessary for the count reduction (i.e. acceptable level) and criteria group selection, which has impact on the final result. The method GUHA is considered as very useful and provides full information about object structure and its features in the case of 0/1 matrix description.

The next step is to evaluate the final decision making if we have the structure of variants and criteria. We do not concentrate on the best bio-briquette selection but ordering the particular bio-briquette in compliance with the best bio-briquette structure.

We can use a large scale of methods regarding complex variant analysis. AGREPREF and ELECTRE belong to the methods which are based on the paired comparison of variants. Method PRIAM requires information about the aspiration criteria level and are based on the heuristic search of the variant group and finds only one no-dominative solution. Method ORESTE requires only ordinary information about criteria.

We decided to employ methods TOPSIS (Technique for Order Preferences by Similarity to Ideal) with the cardinal information about criteria. The method seeks for distance minimalization from the optimal variant AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) and maximalization of the benefit displayed by linear function. The main advantage of TOPSIS is simplicity and clarity (Z í s k a l, H a v l í č e k, 2006).

Photo-documentation shows some samples of tested biomass on the basis of wood mass (Figs 1-3).

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

On the basis of expert evaluation of the primary test (see Table 1), the possible (feasible) variants of ecological pure and saving (combined) variants of biomass was defined the following variants of bio-briquettes.

The group of criteria including ecological, economical, marketing and technological aspects is showed in Table 2.

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|---|
| | Cereals bran | Binding agent | Oil beanin extracts | Coal dust | Wood fibre substance |
| V1 | Х | hy a space of the | | | |
| V2 | X | Х | | | |
| V3 | X | | X | | |
| V4 | Х | Х | X | | |
| V5 | Х | | | Х | 이 같은 것 같은 것이 같아요. |
| V6 | Х | Х | | Х | |
| V7 | Х | | X | Х | 김 아파 아파 나는 것 |
| V8 | X | Х | X | Х | 이 가슴에 가격 것도 가다. 같은 것과 것 같은 것 같은 것이 같아? |
| V9 | X | | | | X |
| V10 | X | X | 한 그렇는 그 한 옷이 | | X |

Table 2. Criteria for evaluation of Bio-briquettes variants

| Criteria | Characteristic | Туре | Specific unit |
|----------|---------------------------------|------|---------------|
| K1 | Production costs | Min | CZK |
| K2 | Expected realization price | Max | CZK |
| K3 | Term energy resources | Max | Rel. KJ |
| K4 | Residual effects - CO | Min | GMJ rel. |
| K5 | Residual CO ₂ | Min | GMJ rel. |
| K6 | Residual SO ₂ | Min | GMJ rel. |
| K7 | Residual NO _X | Min | GMJ rel. |
| K8 | Need of surface pressure | Min | KP |
| K9 | Biomass durability | Max | Points rel. |
| K10 | Physical biomass consistency | Max | Points rel. |
| K11 | Expected marketability | Max | Points rel. |
| K12 | Total effects | Max | Points rel. |

Input data for multictriterial evaluation by TOPSIS method are showed in Tables 3 and 4.

The order of variants shows the convenience of biobriquette produced from brans and binders, optionally from brans, wood comminutions and binders. All briquettes with the coal dust adulterants are unacceptable in the view of considered criteria. Obtained result solves the problem of strategy selection as for the bio-briquette structure. It means if the pure one (i.e. only plant bio-briquette) or mixed one with the utilization of brans, wood comminutions, coal dust and binders (Table 5).

System impacts and possible contributions of bio-briquette production

We can expect that the structure of the Czech mill production will not dramatically change in the future. The

K7 K8 K9 K10 K11 K6 K5 K2 K3 K4 K1 Marketing Duration Consistency SO_2 NO_x Pressure CO CO₂ Real. price Resources Prod. costs 7 5 0.2 8 4 0.5 0.1 1.3 2.3 8.5 1 V1 6 8 0.1 0.2 5 5 0.5 1 8.6 V2 1.4 2.4 6 8 7 5 1.5 1 0.15 0.25 9 2.5 1.5 V3 7 9 0.3 4 6 1 0.15 2.4 9.2 1.5 V4 1.55 5 6 0.3 8 5 9.5 3 1.5 2 2.4 1.35 V5 7 7 6 0.35 3 9.6 3 1.6 2.15 2.4 1.6 V6 7 9 6 2.2 0.35 6 1.7 3 1.65 2.5 10 V7 9 6 2.1 0.4 4 7 3 1.5 10 1.7 2.5 V8 7 5 0.25 6 6 9 1.5 1 0.4 1.55 2.6 V9 8 3 7 8 0.4 0.25 9 1.5 1 V10 1.6 2.6 max min min min max max min min max Type min max Comparative 0.5 0.9 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.7 0.6 criterium

Table 3. The basic model of variants and criteria (NORM)

Table 4. Composition of transformed coefficients of analysis for EURO-BIO Briquette model (NORM)

| | K1 | K2 | K3 | K4 | K5 | K6 | K7 | K8 | K9 | K10 | K11 |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| | Prod. costs | Real. price | Resources | CO | CO ₂ | SO_2 | NO _X | Pressure | Duration | Consistency | Marketing |
| V1 | 0.053 | 0.023 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.05 | 0.051 | 0.057 | 0 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.02 |
| V2 | 0.04 | 0.024 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.05 | 0.051 | 0.057 | 0.036 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0.023 |
| V3 | 0.027 | 0.025 | 0.032 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.05 | 0.043 | 0.012 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0.023 |
| V4 | 0.02 | 0.024 | 0.033 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.05 | 0.029 | 0.048 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.026 |
| V5 | 0.047 | 0.024 | 0.034 | 0 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.029 | 0 | 0.018 | 0.015 | 0.017 |
| V6 | 0.012 | 0.024 | 0.035 | 0 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.06 | 0.025 | 0.022 | 0.017 |
| V7 | 0.007 | 0.025 | 0.036 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.021 | 0.025 | 0.017 |
| V8 | 0 | 0.025 | 0.036 | 0 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.048 | 0.025 | 0.028 | 0.017 |
| VO | 0.02 | 0.026 | 0.032 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.044 | 0.043 | 0.024 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 0.02 |
| V10 | 0.013 | 0.026 | 0.032 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.044 | 0.043 | 0.06 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.023 |
| Ideal variant | 0.4 | 2.6 | 10 | 2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| Basal variant | 0 | 2.3 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

Table 5. Modified TOPSIS analysis - the results of variants

| | EURO-BIO-briquette | | | | |
|-----|--------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| | Distance | Order | | | |
| V1 | 0.641348 | 3 | | | |
| V2 | 0.787454 | 1 1 | | | |
| V3 | 0.57055 | 6 | | | |
| V4 | 0.632477 | 4 | | | |
| V5 | 0.356672 | 8 | | | |
| V6 | 0.397938 | 7 | | | |
| V7 | 0.172267 | 10 | | | |
| V8 | 0.322554 | 9 | | | |
| V9 | 0.579645 | 5 | | | |
| V10 | 0.664872 | 2 | | | |

Table 6. Nutric count of brans

Relatively low nutric figures of brans determine that this product could be taken as the important feedstuff for the agricultural animals but could be a resource of alternative and ecological pure energy.

The important problem with brans utilization in the chick ration is the contents of both chemical residua resulted as the chemical treatment of the wheat and micro parasites. These aspects in not contained in this presented paper.

Environmental deterioration in the Czech Republic caused by combustion of the high quality coal over the period 1918 and 1987 (Fig. 5).

The anthracite mining development after the 2nd world war in the selected European countries is mentioned bellow Table 8.

| 20 (⁰ | Drag matter | Nitrogen | R | ıminant | Pigs | | |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|--|
| | Dry matter | substances | SNL | Starchy feed unit | SNL | Starchy feed unit | |
| Wheaten | 88.0% | 14.9% | 9.9% | 41.8% | 11.0% | 56.4% | |
| Rye | 88.0% | 15.1% | 11.3% | 49.4% | 10.3% | 61.9% | |

production of food cereals fluctuate between 1300 Mio and 1550 Mio t in the Czech Republic. The structure contains approx. 1200–1350 Mio t wheat and approx. 200–280 Mio t rye. These volumes mean approx. 200–280 Mio t acquired brans.

The important marketing problem is a different share of large, middle and small mill for the food cereals processing. The particular shares according to the expert estimate for the period of 2002/2003 is showed in Fig. 4.

The increasing volume of brans in the mill and delay in late bran consumption could caused the significant running problems of the mills including a few days flour production stop. The sharp decline in the count of polygastric animals (esp. Stock, see Table 7) have been since 1990. After the count of spoil volumes to specific fuel we could find that nominal increase in mining of fossil fuels does not correspond with the real energetic contributions. The main reason is based on the long-term decreasing trend of heat value of coal, as mentioned in Table 9.

The negative impact is connected with both the decline in heat values and downturn of the other geological and qualitative parameters. The lignite contains the increase of stripped ratio, sulphur content, ashes, water and other detrimental components. The situation turns to be worst due to the large engines, which mine not only coal but ground as well. The result affect obtaining a low quality energetic material production instead of the high quality products made from quality coal.



Fig. 4. The share of mill producers on the cereals processing

Table 7. Count of stock development in the Czech Republic as the main purchaser of brans in the feed rations (data are introduced in thousand units)

| | | | а с., ¹ а | Per | riod | 2 20 | 8.0 | |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|----------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| Category | 1989 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Total stock | 3480.0 | 1866.0 | 1701.0 | 1657.0 | 1574.0 | 1582.0 | 1520.0 | 1490.0 |
| Cows share | 1248.0 | 702.0 | 647.0 | 642.0 | 615.0 | 611.0 | 596.0 | 530.0 |
| Cows with the milk production | | | _ | - | 515.5 | 483.4 | 470.1 | 1465.0 |

Source: Zelena zprava published by Ministry of Agriculture, 2002, pages 193 and 54

| Table 8. The anthra | cite mining devel | lopment (in Mio | tonnes) |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|

| | 1945 | 1955 | 1960 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 2000 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Great Britain | 220 | 225 | 197 | 147 | 123 | 130 | 91 | 105 | 95 |
| FRG | 111 | 132 | 143 | 116 | 97 | 94 | 89 | 87 | 94 |
| France | 51 | 55 | 56 | 38 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 19 | 17 |
| Belgium | 27 | 30 | 23 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| Czechoslovakia | 18 | 21 | 26 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 19* |

* Czech Republic

Above mentioned factors negatively impact on the environmental deterioration in the Czech Republic. The perspective significance of alternative and recoverable resources utilization including all kinds of bio-briquette becomes more and more meaningful.

| | | | | The second se |
|------------|------------|---------|-------------|---|
| Table 9 H | eat values | ofcoal | development | (kI kg |
| 14010 /.11 | cat varaes | or cour | actorophien | (and the party of |

| | 1970 | 1980 | 1987 | 2002 |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Pit-coal | 25 205 | 24 870 | 24 391 | 23 950 |
| Brown coal and lignit | 13 477 | 12 510 | 12 208 | 12 035 |

CONCLUSION

Multicriterial evaluation methods are useful in the field of agriculture. The paper contains only one option which could be utilized in the product vertical within the agriculture industrial complex. Theory of multicriterial decisionmaking is based on the mathematical modelling. Its advantage consists in elementary knowledge of maths. System and complex view of this issue is contained in the multicriterial approach.

Option selection of the best bio-briquette structure is depended on the impact quantification according to the particular criteria in the case of multicriterial evaluation. The group of variants and criteria consists of ecological,



Fig. 5. Coal consumption development in the Czech Republic

economic and technological aspects which are determined by expert estimations. The quality of expert estimations depends on the quality of expert selection.

Alternative energetic production, which utilize cereal biomass belongs to the group of fuzzy problem fields and could be subject of several ecological acceptable variants. It is necessary to realize that no algorithm or method can substitute the real manager in the process of decision making. On the other hand this approach could offer the full information and help to take optimal decisions.

REFERENCES

BROŽEK, M. – ŠVASTA, J. – ČERNÝ, J. – ČELOUD, K.: Zpráva o technologických testech výroby biobrikety z obilních otrub. Mezikatedrová studie. KOSA PEF a KMST – TF ČZU. Interní výzkumný materiál, ČZU Praha, 2002.

- ČELOUD, K.: Problémy využití otrub při synchronizaci produkce velkokapacitního producenta mouky. Interní materiál KOSA PEF, ČZU Praha, 2001.
- CHEN, S. J. HWANG, F. P.: Fuzzy multiple attribute decision making. Berlin, Springer Verlag 1992.
- SAATY, T. L.: Decision making for leaders. Pittsburg, RWA Publications 1990.
- ŠVASTA J. et al.: Dynamický vločkovač zrnin (Komplexní materiál k vývoji technologie – Oceněné Mze ČR pro KOSA PEF ČZU v Praze uznáním "Zlatý Klas", České Budějovice, 1994). Dvůr Králové nad Labem, Print PUT, s.r.o., 2004.
- ZÍSKAL, J. HAVLÍČEK, J.: Ekonomickomatematické metody II. Praha, ČZU PEF, 2006.
- ZÍSKAL, J. ŠVASTA, J. BROŽOVÁ, H.: Systémová analýza a modelování II. Praha, PEF ČZU, 2000.

Received for publication on November 8, 2006 Accepted for publication on June 27, 2007

ŠVASTA, J. – ZÍSKAL J. (Česká zemědělská univerzita, Fakulta provozně ekonomická, katedra operační a systémové analýzy, Praha, Česká republika):

Ekologická biobriketa – Ekologické a ekonomické zhodnocení technologií pro využití biomasy. Scientia Agric. Bohem., 38, 2007: 142–147.

Příspěvek se zabývá problémem využití variantních multikritériálních přístupů při řešení vybrané třídy rozhodovacích problémů ve výrobkové vertikále agrárně-průmyslového komplexu. Rozhodovací prostor je vymezen na možnosti efektivního a ekologicky přijatelného finalizačního užití biomasy rostlinné produkce, především obilovin, jako klíčové komodity zemědělské prvovýrobní produkce v ČR.

Těžiště příspěvku je zaměřeno na energetické a nepotravinářské využití otrub pro výrobu biobriket. Pro řešení daného problému byla použita metodologie vícekriteriálního hodnocení pomocí metod komplexní analýzy variant.

obilní biomasa; biokriketa; vícekriteriální přístup; ekologická kritéria; systémové dopady řešení; fosilní paliva; multikriteriální komparace; fuzzy aspekty

Contact Address:

Doc. Ing. Jaroslav Švasta, CSc., Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze, Fakulta provozně ekonomická, katedra operační a systémové analýzy, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Praha 6-Suchdol, Česká republika, e-mail: svasta@pef.czu.cz