BORM METHOD AND COMPLEXITY ESTIMATION

Z. Struska

Czech University of Life Sciences, Faculty of Economics and Management, Department of Information Engineering, Prague, Czech Republic

This paper offers an introduction of a method BORM Points from the area of complexity estimation in the object environment. In the first part of the paper there is a BORM description (Business and Object Relation Modelling). In the second part there is a presentation of new BORM Points method. It is the suggestion of estimation method using specially for the BORM environment.

BORM method; complexity estimation methods; BORM Points; Use Case Points; COCOMO

INTRODUCTION

Each modern design method should offer to its users complexity estimation and allows them an evaluation of the designed information system. This paper introduces concept of estimation method for very perspective BORM.

The method is called BORM Points and applies estimation technique rules for the BORM methodology. The BORM Points frame is deduced from Use Case Points (S t r u s k a , 2007). Therefore the new BORM Points has additional factor – "customer factor", which should represent customers' requirements in the information system project.

METHOD CONCEPT

The BORM (Business and Object Relation Modelling) method has been in use since 1993. From the beginning the BORM was oriented on the support of pure object-oriented programming languages and development environment software systems design, which are for example Smalltalk environment and non-relational object databases. BORM can be used not only for software design, but for requirement analysis of planned systems and business process modelling, as well.

BORM differentiates 6 phases of the system development lifecycle (K n o t t et al., 2003):

1. Strategic analysis – determination of problem, interface is defined here, basic processes are recognized, which occur in the system or in its neighbourhood.
2. Initial analysis – recognition of problem, required processes in system and properties of basic objects are mapping including its share on the processes.
3. Advanced analysis – development of analysis into details of individual object types (set of objects, object classes) and object linkages (composition, inheritance, dependences…).
4. Initial design – we try to set up a system to be able software implementation.
5. Advanced design – items of existing model are transformed in so form, which is applicable in the target implementation environment. In this phase properties of programming languages, databases are take into consideration.
6. Implementation (design, program composition) – required software is designed (programming, generation by the help of CASE).

The BORM method covers two level of information system proposal – business analysis of IS and conceptual analysis of IS. Business analysis is concerning with current and future process mapping, which is described by functions, scenarios, architecture and business diagrams. Conceptual analysis reassumes the results of business analysis to transform the business model into programmers' submissions, which are described by the objects and classes diagram, software objects diagram and the software component diagram.

For complexity estimation I consider business diagrams as the most important point in BORM method. For design this main output from IS business analysis functions, scenarios, participants and data flow has to be defined for the business diagram creation. Each involved participant performs as well as activities and states.

Transitions between states and activities are completed by communications connecting activities with activities of other participants. Business diagrams can be improved by data flows that are exchanged between individual participants. These can be informational, financial or material flows (documents, forms, confirmations, etc.).

BORM diagrams are used for information systems requirement capture. Fig. 2 displays the example of the invoice processing process in BORM methodology.

The participants are the most important elements in the business diagram, because they represent the concrete part of modelling reality. It is possible to define participants as objects, which have a distinct role in the modelling processes in connection with proposal information systems. Participants are not limited to persons, but can be machines, information systems and other elements taking part of the process.

BORM POINTS METHOD

The concept of BORM Points (BORMp) is based on the calculation of Use Case Points (K a r n e r , 1993). Use



Fig. 1. Six phases of system development lifecycle in BORM (K n o t t et al., 2003)



Fig. 2. Business diagram in BORM

Case Points is method used for complexity estimation of information systems. The BORM Points use chosen parts of Use Case Points, which are useful for the BORM methodology.

New BORM Points method is designed to eliminate the known disadvantages of Use Case Points. I think that one of them is small concentration on customer requirements. The customer with his requirements can influence project complexity a lot.

The BORM Points try to estimate the complexity on the basis of chosen components, which are characteristic for BORM. Calculation is divided into two independent parts. In the first step the number of participants and the number of business diagram is counted. The second step consists of technical, environment and customer factors evaluation.

Complexity estimation by BORM Points

Calculation of complexity estimation by BORM Points is divided into two main steps. The reason is that it is necessary to separate the unadjusted number, which is based on the real modelling system and technical, environment and customer factors, which evaluate the environment, in which information systems are designed.

In the first step method counts unadjusted number, in the second the method evaluates individual factors – technical, environment and customer factors:



Fig. 3. Structure of BORM Points calculation

- Unadjusted part of BORM Points,
  – Number of participants,
  – Number of business diagrams.
- Technical factor.
- Environment factor.
- Customer factor.
- Productivity factor.

Unadjusted part of BORM Points

The first part divides the count in next two parts. This separation provides clear definition of the participants number and number of the business diagrams. The unadjusted part is directly connected with the designed information system.

Unadjusted participant weights (uapw)

I suppose that participants are external objects, which have a relationship with system. They are defined like users, next programs, data warehouses, etc. This should be a part included project documentation.

Participants are divided by their complexity:

simple – average – complex.

Simple – different system with interface to measured system through various automated programs (e.g. standard application program).

Table 1. Proposal of weights for individual participants' category

Participant type	Definition	Weight
Simple	System interface	1
Average	Interactive or protocol driven interface	2
Complex	Graphical interface (human factor)	3

Average – either next system, which is connected with measured system through protocol or through user interface. Average participant cooperates with the system through protocol (e. g. http, TCP/IP) or next participant type can be data warehouse.

Complex – person cooperates with the system through graphical interface (mostly final users, which are classified as complex)

After participant's separation into three categories their numbers in individual category are counted. Individual participant gets weight 1; average gets weight 2 and complex 3. Total unadjusted participants weight is counted in Table 3.

Unadjusted business diagram weights (ubdw)

BORM is used for its wide area of process mapping (including IT mapping). Therefore it is necessary to identify business diagrams, which are directly connected with the designed information system. The business diagrams get complexity weight according to their number of activities and/ or transactions.

First the business diagrams are divided into the following three categories:

simple – average – complex.

Table 2. Proposal of total unadjusted participants weight

Participants type	Participants weight	Participants number	Total
Simple	1	__ • 1 =	
Average	2	__ • 2 =	
Complex	3	__ • 3 =	
Total unadjusted participants weight (upw)			

Table 3. Factors proposal for complexity estimation by business diagrams

Business diagram type	Description	Weight
Simple	1–5 activities or 3–11 communications (communications + transactions)	5
Average	6–10 activities or 12 – communications (communications + transactions)	10
Complex	11 and more activities or 18 and more communications (communications + transactions)	15

Table 4. Proposal of total unadjusted business diagram weight

Business diagram type	Activities number	Business diagram weight	Count	Total
Simple	1–5	5	__• 5 =	
Average	6–10	10	__• 10 =	
Complex	11 and more	15	__• 15 =	
Total unadjusted business diagram weight (ubdw)				

Table 5. BORMp – Technical factors

Factor number	Description
t1	Distributed system
t2	Response time or throughput performance objectives
t3	End user efficiency
t4	Complex internal processing
t5	Code must be reusable
t6	Easy to install
t7	Easy to use
t8	Portable
t9	Easy to change
t10	Concurrent
t11	Includes special security objectives
t12	Provides direct access for third parties
t13	Special user training facilities are required
Total technical factor (tFactor)	

Separation is realized on the base of activities number and transactions number, border values are suggested in Table 3.

The activities were chosen for complexity definition by reason of they represent situations, which participants have to solve. In business diagrams the transactions are performed with the help of chosen activities and they communicate with activities of cooperated objects at the same time. Activities are important for conceptual analysis as well because they are used for methods deduction.

Next criteria are communication number between individual activities of participants and number of transactions between states and activities by all engaged participants in the business diagram at the same time. These two numbers are counted and used like the second evaluative factor with lower priority. In the situation where the communications are out of the interval, it is recommended to determine according to activities number.

Every level of complexity receives the weight according to number of activities and communications (Table 3). Further sum of business diagrams' numbers perform in individual categories, it is multiplied by assigned weight and then the rows of the table are counted (Table 4).

Unadjusted BORM Points (uBORMp)

Total unadjusted BORM Points are the sum of the two numbered parts – unadjusted participant weight (upw) and unadjusted business diagram weight (ubdw).

unadjusted BORM Points (uBORMp) = upw + ubdw

Technical factor

Technical factor is necessary to specify in the second part of method. In Table 5 there are 13 factors, which define technical project site of the designed information system. Evaluation scale is from 0 to 5; the factor with no influence gets 0; the most considerable factor gets 5.

BORM Points use the same table for technical factor as Use Case Points. We think that these factors cover sufficiently technical area of software development, which is very important part of whole project.

The factors with high impact on the project should be identified here and evaluate with the highest weight. The assigned values (0–5) are multiplied with each factors' weight and then summed. Technical factor (tFactor) is counted this way, further it is used in the formula – technical complexity factor (tcf). The factors' weights and the formula for technical complexity factor are tested presently.

Environment factor

The environment is in BORMp understood from the view of supplier and that is the reason why employee skills, used equipment or methods are evaluated in the software development project. These influences are cov-

Table 6. BORMp – Environment factors

Factor number	Description
e1	Familiar with the project model that is used
e2	Application experience
e3	Object-oriented experience
e4	Lead analyst capability
e5	Motivation
e6	Part-time staff
e7	Difficult programming language
Total environment factor (eFactor)	

Table 7. BORMp – Customer factors

Factor number	Description
c1	Knowledge of IS
c2	Customer's project manager capacity
c3	Customer's project members capacity
c4	Knowledge of project organisation
c5	Connection with existing IT projects
c6	Complexity of replaced IS
c7	Stable requirements
Total customer factor (cFactor)	

ered by environment factor. The evaluation is the same as the technical factor. The first 7 factors are evaluated by weights (0 – non influence, 5 – most considerable influence).

After factor evaluation are assigned values to multiple with factors' weights and then summed to give the total environment factor (eFactor). This factor is used in the formula of environment complexity factor (ecf). The factors' weights and the formula environment complexity factor are tested presently.

Customer factor

The software development process of complexity estimation BORM Points introduces new view – "customer factor". It should cover an impact of customers' requirements in the information system project. As it was mentioned above uncoordinated customer requirements can significantly affect effort of information system development.

Procedure of its evaluation is the same as the technical and environment factors, 7 customer factors are weighted from 0–5 (0 – non influence, 5 – most considerable influence).

After factor evaluation they are multiplied with their weights and then summed. The result is total customer factor (cFactor), which is used to determine customer complexity factor (ccf). Its result is value of customer factor. The factors' weights and the formula customer complexity factor are tested as well.

Productivity factor

Important input for methods of complexity estimation is productivity factor as well. It is recommended number of man-hours per one BORM Point in dependence on various influences (e.g. experience of project team, size of IS development, etc.). I suppose higher value than by Use Case Points; reason is customer factor, which BORMp introduce. Similar rule could be very helpful in next phases of BORM Points development and we would like to create it too.

Other option would be state, when BORM Points will count only comlexity estimation of projects designed in BORM and effort will be provided by COCOMO. Further this approach will be researched.

Total BORM Points

Above counted numbers are installed to one formula, which will count result of adjusted BORM Points. The formula consists of unadjusted part (participant and business diagram number) and technical, environment and customer factor.

$$aBORMp = uBORMp \bullet tcf \bullet ecf \bullet ccf$$

The complexity is now defined by non-dimensional number, which is the result of the aBORMp formula. To get actual effort it is necessary to multiple adjusted BORMp and productivity factor.

$$Effort = aBORMp \bullet pf$$

CONCLUSION

I understand the introduced BORMp concept like the start for next research. Very important next step is a set of weights by technical, environment and customer factors and number their formulas. Important will be decision, if BORM Points will estimate effort itseft or its results will be inputs of COCMO.

Numbers and evaluations of value (mentioned above) are realized on the concrete projects, which are designed in BORM. I believe that introduced method can become usable instrument for complexity estimation in IT projects, where software requirements must be carefully captured via detailed business analysis.

REFERENCES

International Function Point Users Group: IT Measurement Practical Advice from the Experts. Boston, Addison-Wesley 2002.

KARNER, G.: Use Case Points – Resource Estimation for Objectory Projects. Objective Systems SF AB (copyright owned by Rational Software), 1993.

KNOTT, R. – MERUNKA, V. – POLAK, J.: The BORM methodology: a third-generation fully object-oriented methodology. In: Knowledge-Based Systems Elsevier Science International, New York, 2003.

STRUSKA, Z.: Complexity estimation method in object oriented environment – Function and Feature points. In: Proc. Conf. Objekty 2005, Ostrava, 2005.

STRUSKA, Z.: The concept comparison of BORM Points method with Use Case Points. In: Proc. Software Engineering Theory and Practice (SETP-07), 2007.

Received for publication on January 14, 2008
Accepted for publication on March 3, 2008

STRUSKA, Z. (Česká zemědělská univerzita, Fakulta provozně ekonomická, katedra informačního inženýrství, Praha, Česká republika):

Metoda BORM a odhad pracnosti.

Scientia Agric. Bohem., *39*, 2008: 86–91.

Každá moderní metoda návrhu informačního systému by měla umožnit tvůrci provést odhad jeho složitosti, na jehož základě mohou být provedena rozhodnutí o jeho realizaci. Článek ve zkratce popisuje metodu BORM a její notace, dále na základě rozboru jejího modelovacího přístupu představuje koncept metody odhadu pracnosti pro projekty řešené metodou BORM včetně navrhovaného postupu výpočtu a kroků, které je nutné provést, aby mohla být představena její finální verze.

metoda BORM; metody pro odhad složitosti; BORM Points; Use Case Points; COCOMO

Contact Address:

Ing. Zdeněk S t r u s k a , Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze, Fakulta provozně ekonomická, katedra informačního inženýrství, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Praha 6-Suchdol, Česká republika, tel.: +420 224 382 362, e-mail: struska@pef.czu.cz